(ग) पिछले तीन वर्षों के दौरान सीलम-पुर पुलिस थाने में ऐसे कितने मामले दर्ज कराये गये और ऐसे मामलों की संख्या कितनी है जिनके संबंध में अभी तक जांच पड़ताल नहीं की गई; और

(घ) शेष मामलों की जांच में हो रहे विलम्ब के क्या कारण हैं और उन मामलों के संबंध में कब तक जांच पूरी हो जाने की संभावना है ?

गृह मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री पी. चिदम्बरम): (क) और (ख) मैसर्स विशाल कट हाउस, बृह्मपुरी मेन रोड के मालिक ने 31 जनवरी, 1987 को सूचित किया कि दो युवक उनकी दुकान में घुसे और रिवाल्वर दिखा कर जबरदस्ती लगभग 600 रु. ले गए । लेकिन कोई गोलीबारी नहीं हुई । भा. द. सं. की धारा 392/340 के अधीन थाना सीलमपुर में एक मामला दर्ज किया गया है ।

(ग) और (घ) पिछले तीन वर्षों में थाना सीलमपुर में सूचित लूटपाट के मामलों की संख्या निम्न प्रकार है :—

वर्ष	सूचित मामले
1984	--
1985	1
1986	2

इन मामलों की जांच पड़ताल पूरी हो गई है । इनमें 3 विचारण के लिए लम्बित हैं और एक को लापता के रूप में फाइल कर दिया गया है ।

Payment of salary to the employees of National Herald Management

43. SHRI K. GOPALAN:

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:

Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to refer to the answer to Un-Otavrad Question 1372 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 18th November, 1986 and Kate:

(a) whether the workers of "National Herald" and "Quamj Awaz" have been paid their monthly wages/salaries since 19th April, 1986 by the management; and

(b) if not, what action has been taken against the management by the Delhi Administration?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI P. A. SANGMA): (a) and (b) The matter relating to the non-publication of the Delhi editions of "National Hearld" and "Quami Awaz" has given rise to a dispute between the management ant; the Union as to whether the non-publication of the dailies can be attributed to the strike by workmen or lockout by the management and whether workmen are entitled to wages for the strike[Iockout period from 15-4-1980. As conciliation in the matter failed, the Delhi Administration hag referred the dispute for adjudication on 25-11-1986 to the Industrial Tribunal No. I, Delhi. The matter is sub-judice.

Trade between India and the United States

44. SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Will the Minister of COMMERCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the present volume of bilateral trade between India and the United States;

(b) whether there is any plan to increase substantially the volume of trade between the two countries dur ing 1987; and

(c) if so. what are the details there of?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMERCE (SHRI P. R. DAS MUNSHI): (a) to (c) India's non-oil exports during the last few years have continuously been showing a rising trend as may be seen from the following table:—

(Value Rs. crores)

Years	Exports	Imports
1981-82	920.20	1419.72
1982-83	950 25	1370 66
1983-84	139555	1790 86
1984-85	1765.83	170059
1985-86	1994.48	2085.86
1986-87 *(Apr. Sept.)*	1147-48*	92747

Provisional : Subject to revision.

A series of initiatives have been taken to promote global exports which are designed to generate surpluses for exports, induce the production of goods contemporary in technology and competitive in prices and to make the exports profitable. With particular reference to the USA, a number of steps have been taken to increase exports which include market surveys, participation in trade fairs and exhibitions, departmental store promotion, buyer-seller meets and special publicity programmes.

Justice Rangnath Mishra's Report on November 84 riot

45. SARDAR JAGJIT SINGH AURORA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received Justice Rangnath Mishra's report regarding November, 84 riots in August, ' 86 as reported in the "Indian Express" on 30th January, 1987

(b) whether the said Commission has suggested payment of compensation for the un-insured property/business establishment! vehicles destroyed|damaged|looted during November '84 riots;

(c) if so, what action has been taken by Government for making payment of compensation as per the recommendations of the Commission; and

(d) how much time Government propose to take to make actual payment of compensation to the November '84 riot victims in Delhi?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI CHINTAMANT PANIGRAHI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (d) The Commission has re-commended that reasonable compensation as may be decided by the State should be paid for commercial premises whether owned or occupied and loss sustained by the victims within the commercial premises should also be taken into account in such manner as may be agreed to by Government to be paid to the victims. The Commission has further suggested to liberally compensate in every case of business loss where victim had a small business and in a graded manner for higher categories. A victim who had received compensation otherwise as in a case of insurance need not be compensated. Affluent businessmen who lost some commercial assets, but have been able to make up the situation, may not have to be compensated.